

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/811,766	03/19/2001	Appu Rao Gopala Rao Appu Rao	148920.00007	9295
7590 04/01/2005			EXAMINER	
THOMAS T MOGA			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
Butzel Long 100 Bloomfield Hills Parkway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 200			1654	
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304			DATE MAII ED: 04/01/200:	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	W.	
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/811,766	APPU RAO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael V. Meller	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. It is, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed oirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>18 February</u> 2005.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	inder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 14-18</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10, 12, 14-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	
Applicant may not request that any objection	-, ,	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	THE EXAMINET. NOTE THE BREACH	ou office Action of format 10-152.
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	arojan ndority under 25 LLS C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority under 33 0.3.C.	§ 119(a)-(u) 01 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No.
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the		
application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies no	t received.
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 4

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-10 and 12, 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 148600 or Thomas et al. in view of Satoh et al. and further in view of Chigurapati et al. or Olsen for the reasons of record.

Applicant argues that the process that the prior art would yield and that of the instant invention are different. Applicant shows a comparison table at the end of their declaration filed on 2/18/2005. The table on page 10 of the declaration shows that in a comparison of a prior art process and that of the instant invention, that the prior art process yielded a degree of hydrolysis of 30-35 % and that of the invention being at 35-25%. Fact is, the 35 % overlaps both ranges making the results obtained by applicant as not unexpected and thus the rejection under 35 USC 103 remains proper.

Claims 1-10 and 12, 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chigurapati et al. or Olsen taken with Satoh et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/811,766

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant provides no further arguments concerning this rejection. See above arguments.

Claims 1-10 and 12, 14-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dalboge et al, Edens et al., or Schoenmaker et al. in view of Satoh et al.

Applicant provides no further arguments concerning this rejection. See above arguments.

This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/811,766. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654 Application/Control Number: 09/811,766

Art Unit: 1654

Page 5